## **MAGISTRATE'S CRIMINAL MINUTES**

## ARRAIGNMENT PLEA AND SENTENCE

						Time in		ne in C	Court:			Hrs	20	Min				
Filed in Open Court: Date: 12/04/2024 Til				Tin	ne:	11:3	11:31 a.m.		Tape:		FT	R						
Magis	Magistrate (presiding): REGINA D. CANNON Deputy Clerk: Phyllis Brannon																	
	Thyling Diamon																	
Case	1:24-cr-00371							Defendant's Name:				Elchonon Schwartz						
AUS	Christopher Huber & Matthew Sullivan (DOJ – Fraud)							Defen	dant's	rney:	Colin Garrett							
USPO/PTR: Moses								Type Counsel: ( ) Retained ( ) CJA (X ) FDP ( ) Waive							ved			
	ARREST D	ATE:	:						<u> </u>									
:	INTERPRETER & LANGUAGE:																	
Х	INITIAL APPEARANCE HEARING. (X) In THIS DISTRICT Dft in custody? () Yes (								( X	) No								
Х	Defendant advised of right to counsel. () WAIVER OF COUNSEL filed.																	
	ORDER appointing Federal Defender Program as counsel. ( ) INITIAL APPEARANCE ONLY.																	
	ORDER appointing as counsel.									***************************************								
	ORDER giving defendant days to employ							nploy c	counsel (cc: served by Mag CRD)									
	Dft to pay attorney fees as follows:																	
Х	INFORMATION/INDICTMENT filed.								X			WAIVER OF INDICTMENT filed.						
Х	Copy information/indictment given to dft? (X) Yes ( ) No - Read to dft? ( ) Yes ( ) No (X) Waived formal reading								ng									
	CONSENT	CONSENT TO TRIAL BEFORE MAGISTRATE (Misd / Petty) offense filed.																
Х	ARRAIGN	ARRAIGNMENT HELD. () Superseding indictment () Dft's WAIVER of appearance filed.																
	Arraignment continued to						at				Request of ( ) Govt ( ) Dft							
	Dft failed to appear for arraignment.					Bench warrant issued												
Х	Dft enters PLEA OF NOT GUILTY. () Dft stood mute; plea of NOT GUILTY entered. () Waiver of appearance.						ice.											
	MOTION TO CHANGE PLEA, and order allowing same.																	
X	ASSIGNED to District Judge SDG					for ( ) trial (X) arraignm			ment/se	ntenc	e.							
	ASSIGNED to Magistrate Judge					for pretrial proceedings.												
	Estimated trial time: days.							( )SHORT ( )MEDIUM ( )LONG										
	PRE-SENT	PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION filed. Referred to USPO for PSI and continued																
	until	until at for sentencing.																
	Governmer	Government MOTION FOR DETENTION filed. Hearing set for at																

Defendant: Elchonon Schwartz Case No: 1:24-cr-00371-SDG

## **BOND/PRETRIAL DETENTION HEARING**

	DETENTION/BOND hearing held.									
	Government MOTION FOR DETENTION ( ) GRANTED ( ) DENIED ( ) WITHDRAWN									
	Defendant does not contest detention at this time.									
	WRITTEN ORDER TO FOLLOW									
	Defendant=s Motion for Bond Reconsideration [Doc. ] is DENIED.									
	WRITTEN ORDER TO FOLLOW.									
Х	BOND SET at \$20,000.00									
Х	Non-surety									
	Surety ( ) Cash ( ) Property ( ) Corporate surety ONLY									
	SPECIAL CONDITIONS:									
	Defendant to remain on pretrial release under the terms and conditions set forth in the U.S. District Court for the									
X	Bond filed. Defendant released.									
	Defendant released on CONDITIONS ONLY.									
	Bond NOT EXECUTED. Defendant to remain in Marshal=s custody.									

## X ORDER:

Pursuant to the Due Process Protections Act, see Fed. R. Crim. P. 5(f), the government is ORDERED to adhere to the disclosure obligations set forth in *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and to provide all materials and information that are arguably favorable to the defendant in compliance with its obligations under *Brady; Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); and their progeny. Exculpatory material as defined in *Brady;* and *Kyle v. Whitley*, 514 U.S. 419, 434 (1995), shall be provided sufficiently in advance of trial to allow a defendant to use it effectively, and exculpatory information is not limited to information that would constitute admissible evidence. The failure of the government to comply with its *Brady* obligations in a timely manner may result in serious consequences, including, but not limited to, the suppression or exclusion of evidence, the dismissal of some or all counts, adverse jury instructions, contempt proceedings, or other remedies that are just under the circumstances.

WITNESSES:	